



INTRAPARTUM CONSENT (FULLY INFORMED SHARED DECISION MAKING) POST MONTGOMERY ON THE RISK OF OBSTETRIC ANAL SPHINCTER INJURY IN CHILDBIRTH

Thursday, 28 November 2019

Royal Society of Medicine

Registration from 10.00 hours

PROPOSED PROGRAMME

The names of speakers are still provisional. Some have agreed, we await agreement by others and some have yet to be approached.

The meeting will involve a vote in two parts on the following motion:

“This house believes that implementing the judgement Montgomery-v-Lanarkshire Health Board in antenatal care and warning of OASI will inevitably raise the rate of elective caesarean section (which may not be in the best interests of the mother), but will increase awareness of advice available if mothers develop anal incontinence”

Initial vote

Presented by Edward Morris (10.30-10.40)

Get up to speed with your voting App: what did you have for breakfast, where do you work?

Session One

10.30 – 12.00

Chairs: Professor Robert Freeman and Karen Evans

Questions to be considered

Question 1

How much do we inform mothers attending ante-natal classes about the risk of OASI without scaring them when there are so many other risks to discuss?

10.30-12.00

A mother's response

The language of information

A midwife who has spoken with OASI mothers

What we achieved in the Care bundle

Is consent possible when the issues are not in your comfort zone?

What the law demands?

Husna Hussein Mohammed

Dr Rebecca Moore

Posy Bidwell

Dr Raneer Thakar

Sarah Addison

TBA...suggestions

Session Two

12.00-12.45

Chairs: Dr Matthew Parsons and Julie Cornish

Question 2

A risk analysis of elective and emergency C Section versus a NVD or instrumental vaginal birth

12.00-12.45

Elective C-Section v NVD

Emergency Section in the second stage v Instrumental delivery

Maya Basu

Professor Tim Draycott

Lunch: 12.45-13.30

Lunchtime optional workshop

12.45-13.30

Session Three

13.30-14.45

Chairs: Mr Abdul Sultan and Ms Belinda Bradford

Question 3

Does consent mean anything when you are exhausted and in pain?

13.30-14.45

An Example -

- Second stage poor progress possible foetal distress, need to get the baby born
- To theatre - trial of instrumental delivery (is it forceps? If so, which one or ventouse and if so what instrument) or Emergency C-Section?

A consultant midwife

A Consultant Obstetrician

Can a woman decide?

A lawyer's view on consent under these circumstances

Round table discussion Q&A session

Julie Frohlich

Dr Charlie Bircher

Jenny Tighe

Jon de Bono QC

Session Four

14.45-16.15

Chairs: Professor Robert Freeman, Ms Geeta Nayar and Naomi Rees

Question 4

How do we take a mother through potential emergency scenarios in the antenatal clinic to find out what they want before it happens?

14.45-16.15

A mother's response:

A midwife

An obstetrician

A lawyer's view

The *Birthrights* take on this

Round table discussion and Question and Answer Session

Sarah Embleton

Jayne Needham

Matthew Parsons

Suzanne White

Elizabeth Prochaska or colleague

Session Five

16.15 – 17.00

Chairs: Dr Alison Wright and Professor Robert Arnott

Question 5

What is the position of the Royal Colleges and Government?

16.15-17.00

Royal College of Midwives

Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists

Government

A representative

A representative

Baroness Blackwood

Session Six

The Vote

17.00 – 17.20

Chair: Professor Michael Keighley

A final summing up before the final vote

For the motion

Professor Robert Freeman

Julie Cornish

Against the motion

Dr Alison Wright

Karen Nugent

The final vote and result, supervised by Professor Robert Arnott, Executive Secretary of the MASIC Foundation

17.20 - 17.30

17.30

Drinks and Canapes

MRBK/07. 03.19